

**Tracking 'Logics of Justification' in Parliamentary Debates:
- QDA Miner/Wordstat output –**

Category	Code	Count	% Codes	Cases	% Cases	Nb Words	% Words
Arguments supporting Referendum\For Institutional	Rousseauian view	65	10.0%	48	9.6%	6028	3.0%
Arguments supporting Referendum\For Institutional	Lockean view	48	7.4%	39	7.8%	4821	2.4%
Arguments supporting Referendum\For Institutional	For doctrinal	26	4.0%	23	4.6%	2597	1.3%
Arguments supporting Referendum\For Institutional	For Institutional Other	15	2.3%	13	2.6%	1671	0.8%
Arguments supporting Referendum	For Practical	48	7.4%	43	8.6%	4045	2.0%
Arguments supporting Referendum	For Political	72	11.0%	61	12.2%	6011	3.0%
Arguments supporting Referendum	For Moral	31	4.7%	28	5.6%	2397	1.2%
Arguments against Referendum\Against Institutional	Sieyessan view	36	5.5%	28	5.6%	2510	1.3%
Arguments against Referendum\Against Institutional	Directorial view	35	5.4%	30	6.0%	2575	1.3%
Arguments against Referendum\Against Institutional	Burkean view	41	6.3%	30	6.0%	4567	2.3%
Arguments against Referendum\Against Institutional	Against Insitutional Other	58	8.9%	47	9.4%	6237	3.1%
Arguments against Referendum	Against Practical	72	11.0%	59	11.8%	7464	3.7%
Arguments against Referendum	Against Political	43	6.6%	39	7.8%	4066	2.0%
Arguments against Referendum	Against Manipulation	63	9.6%	50	10.0%	5942	3.0%